

---

**Groundwater Irrigation in Punjab: Some Issues and Way Forward**

**Rita Pandey**

---

**Working Paper No. 2014-140**

**August 2014**

---

**National Institute of Public Finance and Policy**  
New Delhi  
<http://www.nipfp.org.in>

# *Groundwater Irrigation in Punjab: Some Issues and Way Forward*

Rita Pandey\*

## **Background**

### **1.1 Concerns in Agriculture**

Punjab located in the north western part of India is a small state comprising 1.54 per cent of the total geographical area and little over 2 per cent of the total population in the country. It is the largest contributor of wheat (around 55 per cent) and second largest of paddy (around 42 per cent) after Andhra Pradesh to the central pool of the country; though its relative contribution in central pool of food grains both for wheat and paddy has been declining during the last few years (Singh, Grover, and Dhaliwal, (2012); Tiwana et al, 2007). Sustainability of agriculture in Punjab is thus important for the state's economy and also for food security in India.

It is well documented, that the state has witnessed tremendous increase in the agricultural production during the Green Revolution period, supported by a mix of institutional and technological factors. A total of 85 per cent of the area in the state is under agriculture. The area sown more than once has increased by 250 per cent since the late sixties. Consolidation of landholdings, reclamation of new agricultural lands, development of irrigation, use of biochemical inputs comprising high yielding variety seeds, chemical fertilisers, insecticides and mechanical inputs were among the important factors which helped agriculture in the state in making rapid strides.

The emerging scene of agriculture in Punjab is facing some serious concerns. Green Revolution sustained till the eighties, after which the agricultural production in the state showed the signs of stagnation<sup>1</sup>. This was largely attributed to continuous

---

\* Professor, National Institute of Public Finance and Policy, New Delhi, India. e-mail: rita.pandey@nipfp.org.in;rita\_pandey@yahoo.com.

This paper has benefitted from useful suggestions received from participants of the International Conference on Rejuvenation of Punjab Economy Organised by Centre for Development Economics and Innovation Studies, Punjabi University, Patiala and University of California, Santa Cruz, USA, during March 21-23, 2014. In Particular, I wish to thank Prof. Nirvikar Singh, University of California, Santa Cruz, Dr. Pritam Singh, Oxford Brookes University, Oxford, and Dr. Kamal Vatta, Director, Centre for International Projects Trust, New Delhi for insights shared on this topic.

<sup>1</sup> Signs of stagnation in agriculture were not limited to Punjab alone. However, since 2004-05 Agricultural sector in India has been recovering – this recovery however, was associated with renewed dynamism in rain-fed areas in Jharkhand, Chhattisgarh, Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Rajasthan, Gujarat, Madhya Pradesh. Rain-fed area crops mainly cereal *Bajra*, *Jawar*, Maize, Cotton, Oilseeds had higher yield growth – which came mainly from better seeds and better practices, where agricultural extensions primarily driven by civil society, farmer producer organic and agricultural business companies helped better practices.

cultivation of rice-wheat cropping system having negative implications for soil quality (nutrient balance), infestation of weeds and pests. In the nineties, increase in cost of inputs (increased application of fertiliser and insecticides was required to address soil health and pests issues; with falling water tables additional investment was required for irrigation) further aggravated the situation through squeezing the profitability of agriculture adversely affecting the socio-economic condition of farmers in the state. According to (GoP, 2013), the agriculture in state has reached a plateau making it very hard to make further progress under available technologies and the natural resource base; and the very sustainability of rice-wheat production system is under threat and climate change is posing new challenge on future agricultural growth.

## 1.2 Concerns in Groundwater

Groundwater has played a key role in success of Green Revolution in India especially in original Green Revolution states comprising Punjab, Haryana, and western Uttar Pradesh (UP). Data from minor irrigation census 2011 shows that the three states (Punjab, Haryana and UP) account for 55 percent of the tube wells in India. On an average there are 28 tube wells per sq. km. of net sown area in Punjab alone. Punjab is a predominantly agricultural state having 85 per cent of its area under cultivation with an average cropping intensity of 188 per cent. The water demand from agriculture in the state is therefore very high.

High water demand is also attributed to the water intensive commercial crop models promoted during the green revolution. It is well documented that much of the increase in green revolution wheat and rice crops as well as commercial crop area has come from areas in which traditional rain-fed crops were grown (Punjab, Haryana and western UP). Because farmers began to adopt more water- intensive crops (incentivised by procurement and price support policies, among others) and used fertilisers that required protective irrigation, ground water development for irrigation in Punjab, especially in central Punjab which traditionally was rain-fed but had water-rich alluvial aquifers, saw a massive surge.

The area irrigated by government canals<sup>2</sup> covers only 29 per cent of the total irrigated area of the state. On the other hand, net area irrigated by wells covers 71 per cent of the total irrigated area of the state. In recent decades, however, Punjab's water table has been reducing at an alarming rate, with most of the demand coming from irrigation. The rate of fall in water table was 18 cm during 1982-87; which increased to 42 cm during 1997-2002 (Hira *et al*, 2004) and further to 75 cm during 2002-06 (Singh, 2006). The current situation of groundwater development in Punjab is the most critical in the country as 80 per cent of the monitored wells are considered overexploited (CGWB, 2012). Annual ground water extraction in Punjab is 31.16 billion m<sup>3</sup> as opposed to 21.44 billion m<sup>3</sup> availability. Very high level of ground water is being extracted in Amritsar, Fategarh Sahib, Jalandhar, Kapurthala, Mansa, Ludhiana, Moga, Nawanshahr, Patiala and Sangrur Districts. Out of the 137 blocks in the state, only 25 are safe; 103 are over exploited, 5 critical and 4 semi-critical (IDFC, 2013). Area identified by CGWB for ground

---

<sup>2</sup> Punjab hosts three main perennial rivers-Satluj, Beas, and Ravi; and a seasonal river Ghaggar. This water is mainly supplied through a vast canal network of about 14, 500 km. The canal water supply is more extensive in the south-western zone of the state which receives less rainfall and has high salinity in soils and ground water. <http://www.pbirrigation.gov.in>

water recharge is 2.275m ha. The issue of overexploitation of groundwater is concentrated mostly in central Punjab. Other areas have water logging and salinity and poor water quality issues<sup>3</sup>.

This clearly is resulting in significant and increasing social and economic costs. Farmers are being confronted with the need to move to deeper wells with inevitable increase in cost of farming, making it especially difficult for small and marginal farmers. This also has implications for inter-sectoral distribution and equity. Subsidised agricultural power supply is putting an additional and unsustainable burden on state budgets.

This precarious situation calls for a mix of regulatory, technological, and economic instruments to address groundwater management in Punjab besides high level policy reform.

### **1.3 The Questions asked in this Paper**

This paper in this context asks three questions. Why is ground water under so much stress; what has been done in Punjab and other states to address this; and what evidence and insights does the empirical literature provide on water demand and supply dynamics. Based on these analyses the paper identifies the main issues and suggests a way forward in this context.

## **2. Why is Groundwater under Stress in Punjab?**

### **2.1 Understanding the Resource Setting and Nature of the Problem**

The aquifers underlying Punjab are characterised by alluvial deep systems which lead to higher specific yield relative to shallow hard rock formations in some other parts of India. The elevated alluvial areas of central Punjab are gifted with major aquifers with moderate-to-high yields and very large storage, constituting an extremely valuable source of freshwater supply. In general the major sources of inflow into the aquifers are precipitation in addition to other sources of recharge including that from irrigation recharge. The term “aquifer overexploitation” applies to a physically unsustainable situation in which the extraction of groundwater exceeds the recharge within a given area over a given period of time. Recharge rates that are low relative to storage, combined with the common occurrence of saline groundwater at greater depths, can put these large alluvial aquifers at risk of aquifer mining and irreversible overexploitation as well as contamination of water. The hydrogeological systems and other dynamics underneath the earth are much more complex than this simple definition of over-exploitation, nevertheless it provides a workable physical indicator for purposes of classification of groundwater blocks and helps in making an assessment of the environmental and socio-economic costs of groundwater exploitation (World Bank, 2010).

---

<sup>3</sup> In about 20 per cent area of Punjab especially in South-West, ground water is of poor quality which led to liberal allocation of canal water. Poor efficiency of canal system covering 70 per cent area in South-West Punjab as compared to 14 per cent in Central Punjab has contributed to fast rise in water table in south west causing poor land productivity.

Other indicators of over-exploitation of groundwater would be decreasing well yields and frequent well failures, deeper drilling depths and use of advanced and expensive technology. Also, as the depth to water table deepens, the amount of energy required to pump a unit of water is likely to increase. These indicators not only help make an assessment of economic costs of over-exploitation but also distributive aspects.

The water tables in Punjab have been in continuous decline on a widespread basis, with aquifer depletion rates currently in the range 0.7–1.2 meters per year (approximately equivalent to a net 100–200 millimeters per year of excessive extraction) (World Bank 2010). The cost of extracting groundwater depends on the depth of water table. The fixed cost of extracting groundwater at around 8 meters shows sharp increase. At 8 meters, surface pumps become infeasible to extract water and farmers have to invest in more expensive technologies such as submersible pumps to extract groundwater. Punjab had the largest area experiencing such decline (Sekhri, 2012a). What is alarming is that the decline has accelerated over time.

In India, including Punjab, land owners have the right to dig wells on their land; and access and own water underneath (private property right) (Singh Chatrapati, 1992). Given the physical and the hydro-geological attributes of the groundwater it cannot be compartmentalised such that it coincides with the landholding pattern. This unique feature of the resource can potentially constrain the private property right to the extent the wells of neighboring farmers/density of wells in village/block/area interfere with the yield/life of a given/set of well(s)<sup>4</sup>. Since only the landowners can own ground water, it cannot be characterised an open access resource. Further, interactive effects of wells make it difficult to assign common property rights to ground water (Chandrakanth *et al*, 2011). This study however, notes that a number of studies have attributed common property rights to the groundwater resource<sup>5</sup>.

## 2.2 Why Groundwater: The Drivers

Groundwater use is strongly contextual and Inter-sectorally linked. It is important to emphasise that in India, in general, the primary driver of private groundwater use is neither resource availability nor well yield potential (Shah, 2007), but the inadequacy and unreliability of water provided through the public water supply systems, in the face of escalating water demands.

In agriculture, for example, groundwater use depends significantly on availability of surface irrigation, energy options and costs of pumping, and cropping choices. Parts of Punjab, Haryana and western UP are among the areas that are fortunate to have deep aquifers. In the elevated alluvial areas of central Punjab, water tables are deeper and coverage of irrigation canals less extensive than in the lower plains<sup>6</sup>. The primary driver in this case was absence of surface water and the abundance of ground water. These were also the areas in which industrious farmers took the lead in adopting the methods of

---

<sup>4</sup> The density of wells per unit area as well as the number of wells per million cubic meter of groundwater which determine the degree of interactive effects of wells is increasing over time in Hard Rock Areas (Chandrakanth *et al*, 2011).

<sup>5</sup> See, Moench (1995).

<sup>6</sup> Central Punjab provides the most significant and illustrative example for considering the issues and approaches for addressing excessive groundwater exploitation (World Bank, 2010).

Green Revolution which in turn was supported by assured minimum price and well developed public procurement system. Not surprisingly, the Green Revolution states are amongst those with the worst ground water over exploitation<sup>7</sup>.

*Secondary drivers:* Ground water has advantages like farmers can control the timing and amount of water as unlike canal irrigation it is not dependent on seasonal flows. Supportive policies that provided flat rate/ subsidised/free electricity for irrigation well pumping; subsidies on well construction and equipment; cheap diesel; support in terms of assured prices and procurement for some crops with very high consumptive use of water, such as paddy, wheat and sugar cane facilitated ground water extraction.

Being a largely private activity, the ground water use went unregulated. This led to instances of water 'Landlords' selling surplus water from under their land to small and marginal farmers and for other use.

### **2.3 Welfare Implications**

From welfare perspective, rapid decline in water tables can result in significant social cost. Sekhri (2011) uses groundwater data in conjunction with annual agricultural output data at the district level to show that a 1 meter decline in groundwater from its long term mean can reduce food grain production by around 8 percent. Using village level data from UP and the fact that there is a non-linearity in cost to access groundwater at 8 meters, Sekhri (2012b) shows that poverty rate increases by around 11 percent as groundwater depth falls from over 8 meters to below 8 meters . In some parts of Gujarat, where the water tables are falling almost at a rate of 3 meters a year, it is estimated that water savings of 30 percent can free up 2.7 billion units of electricity for non-agricultural use. Department of Drinking Water Supply, Government of India estimates that in 2010, approximately 15 percent of the total habitations in the country went from full coverage of drinking water to partial coverage due to drying up of ground water sources.

### **2.4 The Main Issues**

Punjab is a unique case of extreme ecosystem vulnerabilities- while ground water is declining at an alarming rate in many parts of the state, the south-western parts are facing problems of severe water logging and high levels of salinity in water and soils<sup>8</sup> (Kulkarni and Shah 2013).

The focus of this paper is on issues in ground water for irrigation which is a complex situation characterised by overexploitation (largely attributed to crop intensification and unsustainable crop mix), negative externalities (due to interactive effects of wells), inefficiencies (low productivity of water), and inequities (initial and premature well failure). Both the primary and secondary drivers coupled with weak or absent water management policies and institutions are said to be responsible for much of the problem. Incentives and penalties are thus crucial in bringing about sustainability, efficiency and equity in water use.

---

<sup>7</sup> A majority of blocks/districts in Punjab and Haryana are in the over-exploited category.

<sup>8</sup> It includes districts of Muktsar, Fazilka, Bhatinda, and Faridkot.

### 3. Policy and Programs for Groundwater Management in India

**Legislative provisions:** The Indian Constitution provides the states jurisdiction over the groundwater within their boundaries. Also, state governments have the primary responsibility for water supply and irrigation with powers to devolve these functions to up to village level institutions.

At the central government level, the Ministries of Water Resources (MoWR) and of Environment and Forests (MoEF) are responsible for evolving policy guidelines and for enforcing protection of surface and groundwater resources both in terms of quality and quantity. Since water is a state subject, the policy guidelines are mostly of an advisory nature with the implementation left to the state governments.

In the recent times, the Courts have played a pro-active role in evolving policy guidelines and enforcement. The Supreme Court of India on the basis of public interest litigation passed several orders in 1996, and issued directions to the Government of India for setting up the Central Groundwater Authority (CGWA ) under the Environment Protection Act, 1986 (EPA 1986) for the purposes of regulation and control of groundwater development. The Court further directed that the CGWA should regulate indiscriminate boring and withdrawal of groundwater in the country and issue necessary directions with a view to preserving and protecting the groundwater.

The CGWA in consultation with the Ministry of Law has opined that though the states are competent to make their own laws pertaining to groundwater and constitute state groundwater authorities, the provisions of the EPA (1986) would override the state under Article 253. The CGWA has notified sixty-five areas in various parts of the country for registration of groundwater abstraction structures. Based on data thus generated, vulnerable areas are notified for the purpose of groundwater regulation.

In an effort to control and regulate the development of groundwater, the MoWR prepared and passed a model Bill in 2005 for adoption by all the states and UTs. The main thrust of the Bill is to ensure that all the states and union territories form their own state groundwater authorities for proper control and regulation of groundwater resources. Some of the states (See, Planning Commission, 2007) have already enacted groundwater legislation, although at various stages of development. The Planning Commission's Expert Group on Groundwater Management and Ownership has argued that the legislative framework is reasonably robust, in that in principle it enables the groundwater management practices that are likely to be pragmatic and effective in India. The priority lies in enforcement of existing measures, supported by innovative approaches such as an expansion of community- based management.

**Administrative and organisational set up:** Management of groundwater suffers from fragmentation of responsibility at both central and state levels. Many agencies in various sectors have mandates relevant to groundwater, but there is little coordination among them and a lack of regulatory oversight. Not all states have dedicated groundwater authorities, and in almost all cases groundwater-related agencies

suffer from understaffing, lack of capacity, marginalisation, and outdated mandates that prioritise survey and development ahead of resource management.

Although the CGWA and Central Ground Water Board (CGWB) have the potential to become champions of sustainable groundwater management in India, the continued lack of clarity over their status and chronic understaffing means central government institutions cannot properly fulfill their functions and effectively support state agencies (World Bank, 2010).

### **3.1 Policy and Programs for Groundwater Management in Punjab**

The Punjab state government is yet to formulate groundwater legislation despite serious depletion of groundwater levels (particularly in central Punjab). Also, Punjab does not mandate rain water harvesting. However, recent initiatives such as: (i) incentives for changing cropping pattern, (ii) regulation mandating delayed paddy nursery and sowing activities (The Punjab Preservation of Sub Soil Water Act 2009), (iii) considering reforms in agricultural power sector (iv) other demand and supply side measures are significant positive steps.

#### *3.1.1 Crop Diversity Program in Punjab, Haryana, and Western Uttar Pradesh*

The purpose of this program (government of India designed and funded program)<sup>9</sup> is to motivate farmers in Original Green Revolution States to divert the area of paddy to alternate crops (maize, *kharif* pulses, oilseeds, cultivation of *rabi* and *kharif* inter-crops) from ensuing *kharif* season. Through this program the following is expected to be achieved:

- (i) To demonstrate and promote the improved production technologies of alternate crops for diversion of paddy cultivation;
- (ii) To restore the soil fertility through cultivation of leguminous crops that generates heavy biomass and consumes less nutrient intake.

The program will be implemented in the notified over-exploited and critical blocks based on the recommendation of CGWB. At least 5 per cent of area under paddy in identified blocks will be diverted towards alternate crops. The program provides for assistance for land development, farm mechanisation, and establishment of agro-based processing units for value addition, and marketing support to generate additional income and restore soil fertility. The program will be implemented by the central government through a Central Steering Committee constituted for the purpose. An amount of Rs. 500 crore has been earmarked under *Rashtriya Kisan Vikas Yojana* for the year 2013-14.

#### *3.1.2 The Punjab Preservation of Subsoil Water Act, 2009*

It is encouraging that the Government of Punjab has recognised that overexploitation of groundwater is an issue of serious concern and has recently implemented this Act to contain it. The main purpose of the Act is to save groundwater by prohibiting sowing and transplanting paddy before specified dates in hot and dry

---

<sup>9</sup> Crop Diversity Program in Punjab, Haryana, and western Uttar Pradesh (2013-14), Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India.



summer<sup>10</sup> period. The Act prohibits farmers from sowing nursery of paddy before 10<sup>th</sup> May and transplanting paddy before 10<sup>th</sup> June in a year. Any farmer, who contravenes the provisions of the Act, shall be liable of penalty of rupees ten thousand for every month or part thereof, per hectare of the land till the period such contravention continues.

The authorised officer, either *suo motto* or on the information brought to his notice regarding the violation of any provision of the Act, shall be competent to issue directions to the farmer, who has violated any provision of this Act to destroy the nursery of paddy or sown or transplanted before the notified date. In case, a farmer does not act as per the directions of the authorised officer given under the *section 5*, the authorised officer shall cause such nursery of paddy, or sown or transplanted paddy, as the case may be, to be destroyed at the expenses of such farmer.

According to, Singh (2009), the fall in water table can be checked by about 30 cm by delaying the transplanting with the effective implementation of the Act. The savings in electricity have been estimated at 276 million units<sup>11</sup>. In contrast to these findings a recent study (Sekhri 2012a) which evaluated the impact of this Act finds that the annual ground level situation worsened in rice growing areas after the policy change. The intuitive reason for this could be in farmers' response to policy in increased number of irrigation applied or more water used per irrigation. The study observes that in the absence of farm level data on number of irrigation applied and water use, it is not possible to establish the mechanism.

### *3.1.3 Introducing reforms in agricultural power*

Based on personal communication with government officials in Punjab the following insight into current deliberations in Punjab in this context can be summarised as follows:

- All tube-wells would be electrified by 2015 although there are no plans of metering of electricity at the tube-well level which is estimated to cost Rs.700 crores.
- Electricity consumption is currently monitored only at the feeder level. It appears that the government is open to learn from experiences based on the Gujarat model of separate feeders for agriculture and 24 X 7 electricity provisions.

### *3.1.4 Other Measures*

Policy on use of technological solutions such as happy seeders, laser levelers for promoting water use efficiency and other Resource Conservation Technologies (RCTs) for water saving and increasing productivity is under consideration. Some of these technologies are already in use although there is no government policy yet on promoting the same.

---

<sup>10</sup> There is hardly any rainfall up to 15<sup>th</sup> June in Punjab and the relative humidity is lowest, wind speed is highest and temperature is maximum, due to which water evaporates very fast (Karam Singh, 2009).

<sup>11</sup> These estimates are based on simulations using historic data from central Punjab and does not account for selection issues (Sekhri, 2012a).

**Artificial recharge project in Moga District:** As per the available estimates, all the blocks in Moga district are categorised as over exploited where ground water withdrawal has exceeded natural recharge by more than 200 per cent. The decline of water levels has severely impacted the farmers of the area especially those having land less than 2 hectare. It was reported that in this area many farmers started migrating to non-farming activities such as dairy farming or even selling off their lands to big landlords having adjoining farmlands. In order to augment the dwindling ground water resources, a project for artificial recharge was taken up for augmenting the depleted aquifer through artificial recharge in Bassian Drain in Moga district. The project is reported to have shown encouraging results. In an area of 11sq. km the observed rise in water level was 0.20m that could also save 15 megawatt of energy due to reduced lift of pumps. The farmers of the area also reported that there is appreciable increase in discharge of their shallow tube-wells due to artificial recharging of aquifer system of the area. This project can potentially be replicated (Gupta and Marwah, 2012).

#### **4. Potential Measures and Instrument for Promoting Sustainable Use of Groundwater**

Significant social and economic consequences of overexploitation of ground water in Punjab require a focused approach for effective intervention. A mix of regulatory, economic, and institutional options with focus on irrigation efficiency in general and economic efficiency in the use of irrigation water in particular can be used. In this context two broad categories of intervention are: water demand management measures; and supply management measures that would target resource enhancement.

##### **4.1 Supply Side Measures**

These measures target resource enhancement as a means of recovery in water tables (which is a very complex phenomenon) mainly through measures which would enhance the recharge of the aquifer through infiltration. This can be done by: (i) retaining runoffs (by building physical structures, forest conservation, afforestation, plantations, rain water harvesting etc.), (ii) artificial recharge (uses surface water and runoff)<sup>12</sup> practices, and (iii) adopting agricultural practices including RCTs such as laser levelers, and happy seeders<sup>13</sup> which promote infiltration and/or reduce loss of irrigation water. Alluvial settings in Punjab with abundant excess runoff as well as groundwater storage capacity required for recharge provide good potential for recharge (World Bank 2010). It appears that these measures have not yet received the desired attention. It is important to note here that there is little or no public investment on ground water development/resource enhancement. While surface water is provided by public

---

<sup>12</sup> A project was taken up for augmenting the depleted aquifer through artificial recharge in Bassian Drain in Moga district. In an area of 11km<sup>2</sup> the rise in water level observed was 0.20m. See, Gupta and Marwaha (2012).

<sup>13</sup> As per the personal conversation with officials of Farmers association in Punjab some progress has been made in this direction by promoting and improving farmers' access to these technologies.

investment on tanks, dams and reservoirs, ground water has to be extracted and used by farmers' private investment.

Delhi was the first state to mandate rain water harvesting. Many states followed the suit. In Gujarat concentrated efforts to recharge ground water began in the Saurashtra region after the 1987 drought. Initial efforts to divert runoff to groundwater wells led to widespread adoption of the practice by farmers throughout Saurashtra without government intervention. Over time, farmers experimented with new technologies and farmers began constructing check dams in streams and rivers to reduce water speed and to allow the river water to seep into the ground and replenish the groundwater supply (Mehta, 2006). Farmers continued constructing check dams through the 1990s with assistance from NGOs who also bore some of the costs. In January, 2000, the Gujarat government introduced the Sardar Patel Participatory Water Conservation Project in response to the work of farmers and NGOs in the Saurashtra, Kachchh, Ahmadabad, and Sabar Kantha regions. The program initially funded 60 percent of the estimated cost of new check dams, and beneficiaries/NGOs financed the remaining 40 percent. In 2005, the government increased its financing to 80 percent of the estimated cost, and the pace of construction increased outside of the Saurashtra region.

## **4.2 Demand Side Measures**

While broader interventions in groundwater management through groundwater legislation and other sectoral policies will certainly be needed to bring down groundwater extraction/use in the state within the sustainable limits, in this section we explore suitability of some demand management measures with potential to make a difference in Punjab situation.

Broadly speaking demand side measures can be categorised into three types of instruments; regulatory instruments, economic instruments, and other instruments - a residual category.

### *4.2.1 Regulatory instruments*

In the present context, such measures would include, metering and rationing of water/electricity, prohibiting nursery and planting of identified crops before specified dates, promoting substitution of less water consuming crops for water intensive crops, and farming practices for improving water use efficiency.

Effective regulation in general requires not only sound legislation but also the administrative capacity to monitor and enforce rules. Moreover, metering water/electricity will involve significant transaction costs when there are very large numbers of small users, as in the case of Punjab due to fragmentation of land. Standard environmental economics theory tells us that a price based instrument is expected to be potentially more successful in such a setting unless it is a case of severely threatened resources/blocks<sup>14</sup> which would require urgent focus on quantity of water.

---

<sup>14</sup> A regulatory instrument or a quantity based economic instrument such a tradable permits is recommended in such settings.

The Punjab state government is yet to formulate groundwater legislation. As discussed before, measures such as Crop Diversity Program for promoting alternate crops in paddy areas, and Punjab Preservation of Subsoil Water Act, 2009 for promoting water saving are being implemented.

According to Kulkarni and Shah (2013), in many ways trajectory of water resources development in Punjab has been following a simple principle of 'developing' which in the case of ground water means extracting more water to produce more grain. The consequences of intensive water resource mobilisation, in the absence of systematic ground water management backed by robust water governance mechanisms, have been extreme depletion of ground water resources on one hand and a rising water level, leading to water logging and soil salinity on the other (Kulkarni and Shah, 2013; Perveen *et al.*, 2012).

#### 4.2.2 Economic instruments

These can be categorised into price based instruments and quantity based instruments.

**Price based instruments:** Would include pricing in the form of a tax, cess, user fee etc. These can act as incentives to conservation of water. Actual impact will depend on the price elasticity of demand for water/electricity for pumping groundwater. In designing this instrument issues such as the equity considerations of the ground water dependent farmers *vis-à-vis* those who have access to surface irrigation<sup>15</sup>, and equity and affordability of small and marginal farmers will need to be addressed. However, implementation and transaction costs issues are similar as in the case of regulatory instruments. Although if designed well, due to inherent static cost minimisation and dynamic efficiency, price based instruments will result in more efficient allocation and use of water resources.

**Tradable groundwater rights:** While a well-defined rights regime helps water users to reach optimal outcomes, the measure can involve very high transaction costs of implementation. However, if this instrument is implemented in a framework of shared /community/public well and is appropriately mixed with regulatory and/or price based instrument can overcome the scale and public monitoring constraints and thus result in lower transaction costs.

Shared/community/public wells concept may be tried as a pilot. Sekhri (2011) shows that public wells provision can reduce the rate of depletion and if an optimal price is charged it can also reverse depletion. But this can work only where cost of groundwater extraction is high, or in areas where water tables are deep. Foster and Sekhri (2008) find evidence that bilateral trade arrangements between farmers who sell and buy groundwater also decelerate depletion rates. Malik *et al.*, (2008) shows that in a shared well situation in Bist Doab area of Punjab under conditions of rationed water allocation, the farmers have high motivation to allocate more water to crops that are economically more efficient, and also use it more efficiently for the chosen crops than the farmers who have unrestricted access to groundwater by virtue of having wells under individual ownership. In this case their access is limited in terms of number of hours per

---

<sup>15</sup> Surface irrigation has huge subsidies. Fixed costs do not enter the price; only a small fraction of operating cost is recovered.

day access to pumps which is linked to the size of shareholding (land/crop). The benefit of promoting such arrangements is that these do not require top down monitoring. It has been argued that water rights without tradability will lead to wasteful use (Frederick, 1993). On the contrary, Rosegrant and Ringler (1998), indicate that tradable water rights would lead to farmers allocating their water for high value crops. A clear understanding on this can contribute to designing appropriate institutions and policies for sustainable use of groundwater.

Internationally, the only developing country where evidence of positive efficiency and equity impacts of tradable property rights in ground water is seen is Chile (Rosegrant and Gazmuri, 1994; Thobani, 1997).

#### *4.2.3 Other instruments*

This category, in the present, context would include the following:

- Subsidies/user charges for promoting investment in/leasing of water saving methods/equipment.
- Public disclosure of information on receding resource and potential medium and long run risks associated with it. Groundwater literacy and sensitisation.
- Measures such as supporting environment for uptake/marketing of alternate crops to encourage farmers gradually reduce cropping of water intensive crops.
- Technology, research, and extension support.

The Andhra Pradesh Farmer-Managed Groundwater Systems Project (APFAMGS) shows that sustainable management of groundwater is feasible only if users understand its occurrence, cycle, and limited availability. Preliminary findings in the project area have shown that the project has achieved a closer alignment of water availability and water use, and reductions in groundwater use have been realised through, for example, crop diversification (with an increase in low-water-use crops) and water-saving irrigation methods. Importantly, farmers have not sacrificed profitability to reduce water use the reductions in groundwater draft in APFAMGS are not coming from altruistic collective action, but from the individual risk management and profit-seeking decisions of thousands of farmers (World Bank, 2010).

Another success story in Andhra Pradesh is the System of Rice Intensification (SRI). Depleted water resources, stagnated rice productivity, the growing importance of organic agriculture, increased production costs and the need for better utilisation of family labor among small and marginal farmers, called for a shift in cultivation practice. SRI offered a way to not just reduce the demand for water while growing irrigated rice, but also of simultaneously increasing rice production. SRI was introduced in Andhra Pradesh in kharif 2003 in all 22 districts of the state by Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University (ANGRAU). Since 2003, ANGRAU has taken several initiatives to promote SRI in Andhra Pradesh.

RCTs such as zero tillage, laser land leveling and furrow bed planting have received attention in the context of increasing the productivity of the rice-wheat cropping pattern in south Asia (food security issues) and saving of increasingly scarce water resources. While the impacts of RCTs on yields are easy to measure, impacts on water savings are not well understood beyond the field scale because of the complex

movement of eater. Ahmad, M D *et al.*, (2013), using both physical measurements and farmer survey data from the rice-wheat cropping system in Punjab, Pakistan shows that the primary drivers for adopting of RCTs were reduced cost of production and labor requirements, higher yield and reduced field scale irrigation water application. However, the study indicates that the field scale reduction in water application did not always result in real savings due to rebound effect; suggesting that without regulations and policies to regulate the use of saved water, adoption of RCTs can result in overall increased water use. Nevertheless, RCTs can potentially lead to increase in productivity of water.

#### 4.2.4 Energy Subsidy-Irrigation Nexus: A Contentious Issue

This is an important policy question for at least two reasons:

**One**, electricity subsidies are widely perceived to be one of the main causes of groundwater overexploitation. Let us put this question in the larger context of irrigation subsidies. In the case of surface water based irrigation, the entire cost of development is borne by the state. A very small portion of operational expenses are recovered from the farmers. In groundwater irrigation most of the development cost is borne by the farmers. More scientific studies are required to get a better idea of the costs of the two sources of irrigation to the state and the farmers. Moreover, it can also be argued that the increase in the power subsidy costs in recent decades is the result of the increasing inability of farmers to bear the full costs of pumping from decreasing groundwater levels (Shah, 2009; Dubash, 2007).

Chandrakanth (2002) indicates that the negative externalities faced by the farmers due to cumulative interference of irrigation wells are largely responsible for well failures in Hard Rock Aquifers. And the electricity subsidy is only a tip of the iceberg of over-extraction. The farmers using groundwater bear a much higher proportion of irrigation cost (77 per cent), compared to surface water irrigation farmers. However, groundwater situation varies across districts/basins etc. therefore the question of subsidy on energy, cost of groundwater irrigation, and water table situation should be considered together and not in isolation. Meenakshi *et al.*, (2013), measures the impact of metering agricultural tube wells (from a flat-rate to a metered tariff) on groundwater users (pump owners and water buyers) and informal groundwater markets in West Bengal. Overall, the findings do not show any significant impact on any of the outcomes assessed. On the contrary, Badiani and Jessoe (2011) shows that reducing electricity subsidies can potentially affect groundwater extraction rates.

A low flat tariff and the resulting electricity subsidy have also been criticised from an equity perspective because much of the agricultural electricity subsidy goes to big farmers who own a major proportion of the water extraction mechanisms fitted with electric pumps (Howes and Murgai, 2003). This however, is aligned more with targeting of subsidy than subsidy per se.

Detailed scientific studies are required to study the impact of reduction in energy subsidies on cropping pattern, and land and water productivity. There is also need to put some basic data in order. For instance, Chandrakanth *et al.*, (2011), indicate that there are conflicting estimates of use of electricity for irrigation and also on proportion of land

irrigated by groundwater and surface water at the country level<sup>16</sup>. Data at more disaggregated level pose further problems.

**Two**, subsidised/free agricultural power supply is putting an unsustainable burden on state budgets and is the prime cause of bankruptcy of the state boards in India.

Until the early 1970s, the state electricity boards charged tube well owners based on metered consumption. However, as the number of tube wells increased manifold in the next two decades, the transaction costs of metering<sup>17</sup> were found to be prohibitively high compared with the total revenue generated from the agricultural sector<sup>18</sup>. In response, most states introduced flat tariffs for agricultural electricity supply (Shah, 2007). Many states started using electricity tariff as an appeasement of voters; thus keeping it perpetually low or supplying it free of any charge. As a result quality of power deteriorated and rationing became the norm. This affected the small farmers more as, like big farmers, they could not afford to substitute diesel and generators for free electricity. There were equally serious implications for the groundwater sector. Since the marginal cost of extracting groundwater was close to zero, it provided an incentive for over pumping. In many areas, this spawned active groundwater markets. These markets emerged in response to unmet demand for irrigation and the flat tariff system (Meenakshi *et al*, 2013). It may be argued that the emergence of active groundwater markets would be a positive outcome from economic efficiency point of view. The price at which water would be traded will reflect the opportunity cost for using water. Such transfers can promote access equity and efficiency in use. Moreover, such markets can provide extremely useful information price elasticity of demand for irrigation by crops and size of holdings.

However, the main drawback of the flat tariff system/free electricity has been the total lack of energy accounting, no accurate estimates of the total electricity consumed by the agricultural sector and the subsidy provided by the electricity utilities. The total annual economic cost of subsidised power remains contested (mainly due to varying assumptions of transmission and distribution losses, the use of off peak power, and the unreliability or intermittence of the supply (Shah, 2007). Then there are equity issues in subsidy. Free electricity has implications for poor quality and rationed supply of electricity.

The problems facing the electricity sector due to unmetered supply to agriculture and the consequent lack of incentives among farmers to make efficient use of electricity and among the utilities to do robust energy accounting is now widely acknowledged and is at the top of the policy agenda (Planning Commission, 12th Plan Strategy Challenges).

A more general argument against energy subsidies is that they encourage farmers to extract ground water at unsustainable rates which causes lowering of water tables requiring more energy to extract groundwater thus raising the cost of agricultural

---

<sup>16</sup> IWMI study indicates more than 80 per cent land in India is irrigated by groundwater; the study by Lawrence Berkeley Lab put this at 60 per cent (<http://www.escholarship.org/uc/item/0f05n9cr>).

<sup>17</sup> Electricity Act of 2003 has made metering mandatory for all categories of electricity consumers (GoI, 2003).

<sup>18</sup> Unmetered electricity supply also became a convenient garb for state electricity boards to hide their inefficiencies in terms of transmission and distribution losses (Sant and Dixit 1996).

production. Further, use of free/cheap electricity may make electricity more expensive to non-farm users.

#### 4.2.5 Evidence from literature: drawing inference on instrument choice

The context here is the debate on measures for promoting efficient, equitable and sustainable use of groundwater for irrigation. In other words we are broadly looking at measures to promote:

Inter-temporal efficiency- overexploitation

Allocative efficiency- cropping mix

Efficiency in use of water- farming practices; irrigation and farm machinery

Externalities- equity issues

The vast body of literature focusing on regulations and market instruments including the potential linkage between electricity pricing and groundwater use for irrigation and the implication of electricity prices for access equity, efficiency and sustainability in groundwater use (see, Malik *et al*, 2008; Kumar, 2005; Moench, 1995; and Chandrakanth *et al*, 2011 for detailed review) provides empirical evidence and/or insights into approaches such as: state regulation on groundwater withdrawal; co-operative management of groundwater; tradable property rights in groundwater<sup>19</sup>; rationing of electricity to farm sector; pro-rata power tariff in agriculture<sup>20</sup>; community based ownership and management of groundwater; volumetric rationing in groundwater allocation and its positive impact on cropping pattern and land and water productivity in Punjab (Malik *et al*, 2008). These and other studies referred in earlier sections in the paper point towards differing often opposite views/empirical results on the equity and productivity impacts of these instruments.

No consensus exists about appropriate tariff structures either, which generate efficiency in resource use, equity in access to groundwater and sustainability of resource use. Saleth, 1997, argues that power tariff policy alone cannot be an effective tool for achieving efficiency, equity and sustainability in groundwater use and opines that even an imperfect system of groundwater rights will have more sustainable benefits than a most perfectly designed power tariff structure.

The argument is that when tradable property rights are enforced, efficient water markets would develop (Kumar, 2005).

In the context of Gujarat, several scholars and institutions have argued for establishing tradable property rights in groundwater (Kumar and Singh, 2001). However, there is an absolute paucity of sufficient empirical data to compare and analyse the differential impacts of different levels of pricing of electricity, and groundwater rights allocations on water and energy productivity (Kumar, 2005).

Since 2004-05 agricultural sector has been recovering – this recovery however, was associated with renewed dynamism in rain-fed areas in Jharkhand, Chhattisgarh, Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Rajasthan, Gujarat, Madhya Pradesh. Rain-fed area crops

---

<sup>19</sup> Argue that pro rata pricing would have positive impact on equity, efficiency and sustainability in semi-arid and aid regions. Metering has been criticised on account of its negative welfare effects.

<sup>20</sup> It has been argued that water rights will be more effective than evolving energy pricing policy.



mainly cereal *Bajra*, *Jawar*, Maize, Cotton, Oilseeds had higher yield growth – which came mainly from better seeds and better practices, where Agricultural extensions primarily driven by civil society, farmer producer organic and agricultural business companies helped better practices. This indicates that different solutions will emerge in different situations. Farmers have valuable knowledge and capacity to assess both the potential and the risks of supportive environment and positive incentives.

The question then is how does this literature help inform the policy making? And what inferences can we draw for the policy making/design of instruments that may encourage sustainable use of water. A modest assessment would be that it provides at least the following broad guidance for interventions. These are listed in the following section.

## 5. Some Suggestions

- (i) Understanding groundwater overexploitation/use is complex and very much influenced by numerous natural, economic and political factors at play; and these factors vary a lot across and within natural-social-economic-political boundaries and interact in many different ways among themselves. Therefore no one solution/success story can be successfully implemented/replicated in entirety.
- (ii) There is urgent need to put a strategy in place to 'manage' the resource for which the necessary condition is that we know the resource; credible estimates of total consumption of irrigation water, electricity and diesel disaggregated by crops, regions etc. Similarly, credible information on productivity of water under different crops and other local conditions. This will help identify different aspects (technology, seeds, and other farming practices) which need to be targeted.
- (iii) Implementation and enforcement of existing laws is weak. For instance, Electricity Act 2003 made metering mandatory, but to no avail. West Bengal is the only state which has been able to meter agricultural tube-wells. Punjab is yet to formulate a ground water policy. Water harvesting is not mandated in Punjab although some states have made good progress on this.
- (iv) Economic rewards and penalties are required for management of groundwater as these can potentially help provide signal to users about economic/opportunity cost of water. This can be achieved by implementing price based and/or quantity based instruments. Evidence of some success of implementing various measures in Gujarat, West Bengal, Punjab, western UP and Andhra Pradesh provide useful reference points.
- (v) It would be prudent to involve the stakeholders in decision making for both valuable inputs on local socio-economic dynamics, environmental and economic risks perceived by them of the status quo; as well as to garner the buy-in for the new approaches/instruments.
- (vi) Supply side measures have the potential to succeed in Punjab. Role of public investment in groundwater should be examined. Although not yet systematically practiced, there is great potential for exploring various resource enhancing measures including conjunctive use of surface water and

groundwater to meet rising demand in both rural and urban settings. In this context, it is important to mention that Punjab has a good number of ponds. This valuable source of water is being lost due to water quality and other issues. Ponds can be revived/developed into a source of irrigation water, among others, and catchment areas as a source of recharge of groundwater table. This however needs to be examined further in detail.

- (vii) Since the objective is sustainable groundwater management, the focus should be on water in designing the policy instruments. A policy on number of functioning irrigation wells and provision of water flow meters (with an eye on gradual pricing of water and regulation of water draft from wells) may be introduced in a gradual manner. These initiatives on their own may give rise to different types of institutional arrangements and thus markets. This is likely to be more efficient than top down approach in promoting institutional arrangements. Till the time water meters are installed a flat charge on water may be introduced taking cue from the water markets in the informal sector<sup>21</sup>. This should go hand-in-hand with awareness and sensitisation campaigns through extension services.
- (viii) Co-operative/shared well framework should be promoted. This is also akin to /sets favorable ground for transferable permits.
- (ix) Strong focus on gradual shift in cropping pattern through innovative farming and irrigation methods/technology (examples of AP, and other states which are sowing millets and other crops). Enabling environment such as price and procurement support for alternate crops, support for RCTs should be examined in a holistic manner.

---

<sup>21</sup> This is aligned to twelfth Five-Year Plan which proposes a paradigm shift in the management of water resources in India, a crucial element of which is the shift in emphasis from development to management, with empowerment of water users and improved water efficiency (Shah, 2013).

## References

- Ahmad M D, M. Ilyas, and Giordano Mark 2013. Constraints and Opportunities for Water Savings and Increasing Productivity through Resource Conservation Technologies in Pakistan, *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 187:106-115, Elsevier.
- Badiani, R., and K. K. Jessoe, 2011. "Electricity Subsidies for Agriculture: Evaluating the Impact and Persistence of these Subsidies in India", Presented at the Environment Resource Group Seminar, University of California, San Diego, USA.
- CGWB, 2012. Ground Water Year Book, Ministry of Water Resources, Government of India, New Delhi.
- Chandrakanth, M.G., 2002. Valuation of Natural and Environmental Resources: Methodology and Estimation, Summaries of Group Discussion. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 57(1): 23-30.
- Chandrakanth M.G. *et al.* 2011. Groundwater Conservation and Management in India: Application of IoS and Wade Frameworks, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore, India.
- Chatrapati Singh (*ed.*), 1992. Water Law in India, the Indian Law Institute, New Delhi.
- Dubash, N. 2007. The Electricity-Groundwater Conundrum: Case for a Political Solution to a Political Problem, *Economic and Political Weekly*, 42(52); 45-55 (December).
- Foster, A., and S. Sekhri, 2008. "Expansion of Markets for Groundwater in Rural India?" Mimeo, Brown University, USA.
- Frederick, K.D., 1993. Balancing Water Demand with Supplies: the Role of Management in a World of Increasing Scarcity. Technical Paper 189, Washington, DC: The World Bank.
- Government of India (GoI), 2003. *Electricity Act*, Ministry of Power, New Delhi. [www.powermin.nic.in/acts\\_notification/electricity\\_act2003/preliminary.htm](http://www.powermin.nic.in/acts_notification/electricity_act2003/preliminary.htm).
- , 2013-14. Crop Diversification Program in Haryana, Punjab and Western Uttar Pradesh, Ministry of Agriculture, New Delhi.
- Government of Punjab (GoP), 2009. The Punjab Preservation of Sub Soil Water Preservation Act. Department of Legal and Legislative Affairs, Punjab.
- , 2013. Report of the Committee set up for Formulation of Agriculture Policy for Punjab State, Punjab.
- Gupta S and S. Marwaha, 2012. Ground Water Exploitation and Food Security Issue – A Case Study of Moga District, Punjab State, India, *Abstract Volume, World Water Week* in Stockholm.

- Hira, G.S., S.K., Jalota, and V.K. Arora, 2004. Efficient Management of Water Resources for Sustainable Cropping in Punjab; Department of Soils, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana.
- Howes, S. and R. Murgai, 2003. Karnataka: Incidence of Agricultural Power Subsidies, An Estimate. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 38(16): 1533–1535.
- Infrastructure Development Finance Company, (IDFC), 2013. India Rural Development Report 2012/13, New Delhi.
- Jacoby, H.G., R. Murgai, and S. Rehman, 2004. Monopoly Power and Distribution in Fragmented Markets: The Case of Groundwater. *The Review of Economic Studies*, 71:783–808.
- Kulkarni H, and M. Shah, 2013, Punjab Water Syndrome-Diagnostics and Prescriptions, December 28, 2013; *Economic and Political Weekly*, XLVIII (52).
- Kumar, M. Dinesh and O. P. Singh, 2001. Market Instruments for Demand Management in the Face of Scarcity and Overuse of Water in Gujarat, Western India, *Water Policy*, 5 (3): 387-403.
- Kumar, M. Dinesh, 2005. Impact of Electricity Prices and Volumetric Water Allocation on Energy and Groundwater Demand Management: Analysis from Western India, *Energy Policy*, 33(1): 39-51.
- , 2007. “Groundwater Management in India: Physical, Institutional and Policy Alternatives”, New Delhi: SAGE Publications.
- Malik A K, M. Junaid, R. Tiwari, and D. Kumar, 2008. Towards Evolving Groundwater Rights: The Case of Shared Well Irrigation in Punjab, Institute of Rural Management, Anand.
- Mehta, A., 2006. The Rain Catchers of Saurashtra, Gujarat in (ed.), Okonski K., *The Water Revolution: Practical Solutions to Water Scarcity*; 226. London: International Policy Press.
- Meenakshi, J.V., A. Banerji, A. Mukherji, and A. Gupta, 2013. Impact of Metering of Tube Wells on Groundwater Use in West Bengal, India , project report submitted to 3ie by International Water Management Institute [www.iwmi.org/iwmi-tata/apm2012](http://www.iwmi.org/iwmi-tata/apm2012).
- Moench M., 1995. Ground Water Management: Simply Dominated Focus of Traditional, NGO, and Government Efforts, VIKSAT, Ahmedabad.
- Perveen, S, C. K. Krishnamurthy, R. S. Sidhu, K. Vatta, B. Kaur, V. Modi, R. Fishman, L. Polycarpou and U. Lall, 2012. Restoring Ground Water in Punjab: Finding Agricultural Solutions for Water Sustainability, Columbia Water Centre White Paper: Agriculture, India, Earth Institute, Columbia University, USA.
- Planning Commission, 2007. Expert Group on Groundwater Management and Ownership, Government of India.

- Rosegrant M.W., C. Ringler, 1998. Impact on Food Security and Rural Development of Transferring Water out of Agriculture, *Water Policy*, 1; 567–586.
- Rosegrant, Mark W. and Renato Gazmuri Z., 1994. “Reforming Water Allocation Policy through Markets in Tradable Water Rights: Lessons from Chile, Mexico, and California, EPTD”, Discussion Paper 2, International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington.
- Saleth, R. Maria, 1997. Power Tariff Policy for Groundwater Regulation: Efficiency, Equity and Sustainability. *Artha Vijnana*, XXXIX (3): 312-322.
- Sant, G. and S. Dixit, 1996. Beneficiaries of IPS subsidy and impact of tariff hike. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 31(51): 3315–3321.
- Sekhri, S., 2011. Missing Water: Agricultural Stress and Adaptation Strategies, University of Virginia (*mimeo*).
- , 2012a. Sustaining Ground Water: Role of Policy Reforms in Promoting Conservation in India, paper presented in *India Policy Forum 2012*, IIC New Delhi.
- , 2012b. Wells, Water and Welfare: Impact of Access to Groundwater on Rural Poverty, University of Virginia, USA (*mimeo*).
- Shah, Mihir, Debashish Banerji, P. S. Vijayshankar and Pramathesh Ambasta, 1998. India's Drylands: Tribal Societies and Development through Environmental Regeneration, New Delhi: Oxford University Press.
- Shah M., 2013. Water: Towards a Paradigm Shift in the Twelfth Five Year Plan, Special Article, *Economic and Political weekly*, XLVIII: 40-52.
- Shah, T., 2007. The Groundwater Economy of South Asia: An Assessment of Size, Significance and Socio-ecological Impacts in (ed.) Giordano M., and K. G. Villholth *The Agriculture Groundwater Revolution: Opportunities and Threats to Development*, Comprehensive Assessment of Water Management in Agriculture Series. Wallingford, United Kingdom: CABI Publishing.
- , 2009. *Taming The Anarchy: Groundwater Governance in South Asia*, Resources for the Future, Washington, DC.
- Singh Jasdev, D.K. Grover and Dhaliwal Tejinder K., 2012. State Agricultural Profile-Punjab, AERC Study No. 30, Agro-economic Research Centre, Punjab Agricultural University, Punjab.
- Singh, Katar, 1995. Co-operative Property Rights as an Instrument of Managing Groundwater, in (eds.), Moench, M. *Groundwater Law: The Growing Debate*. Monograph, VIKSAT- Pacific Institute Collaborative Groundwater Project, Ahmedabad.
- Singh, Karam, 2006. Fall in Water Table in Central Punjab: How Serious, The Punjab State Farmers Commission, Government of Punjab, Chandigarh (November).

- , 2009. Agrarian crisis in Punjab: High indebtedness, low returns and farmers suicides, in (eds.) Reddy D. Narasimha and Srijit Mishra *Agrarian Crisis in India*, Chapter 10; 261-284, New Delhi: Oxford University Press.
- Singh, O. P. and M. Dinesh Kumar, 2008. Using Energy Pricing as a Tool for Efficient, Equitable and Sustainable Use of Groundwater for Irrigation: Evidences three Locations of India, paper presented in 7th Annual Partners' Meet, ICRISAT, Hyderabad.
- Thobani, Mateen, 1997. Formal Water Markets: Why, When and How to Introduce Tradable Water Rights, *The World Bank Research Observer*, 12(2): 61-179.
- Tiwana, N. S., N. Jerath, S. S. Ladhar, G. Singh, R. Paul, D. K. Dua, and H. K. Parwana 2007. State of Environment: Punjab, Punjab State Council for Science and Technology, Chandigarh, Punjab; 243.
- World Bank, 2010. Deep wells and Prudence: Towards Pragmatic Action for Addressing Groundwater Overexploitation in India, Report 51676, The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development, Washington DC: The World Bank  
([http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INDIAEXTN/Resources/295583-1268190137195/DeepWellsGroundWater\\_March2010.pdf](http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INDIAEXTN/Resources/295583-1268190137195/DeepWellsGroundWater_March2010.pdf))